Constraining the progenitor system and environs of the Type Ia SN 2014J with the EVN and eMERLIN



Miguel Pérez Torres (torres@iaa.es)

(See Pérez-Torres, Lundqvist, Beswick, Björnsson, Muxlow, Paragi, Ryder, Alberdi, Fransson, Marcaide, Marti-Vidal, Ros, Argo, and Guirado **2014, ApJ, 792, 38**)

12thEVN Symposium, 2014 October 9

< □ > < @ > < 注 > < 注 > ... 注

SNe Ia - used in cosmology and galaxy evolution





(日) (部) (王) (王)

Type Ia SNe play a crucial role

- Primary cosmological distance indicators
- Major contributors to the chemical evolution of galaxies

USTITUTO de ASTRONAICE S

What are the progenitors of Type Ia SNe?



Yet we don't know what makes a Type Ia SN (embarrassing)

- Plethora of Single Degenerate (SD) scenarios + DD scenario
- Observationally is tough to distinguish between them



Radio (and X-rays) is probably the most powerful observational tool to unveil the progenitor system of Type Ia SNe

- Single-degenerate scenario (WD + non-degenerate star)
 ⇒ measurable prompt radio emission
- Double-degenerate scenario (WD + WD)
 - \Rightarrow no prompt radio emission

Synchrotron radio emission from CCSNe





Radio measurements directly tell us the mass-loss rate of SNe

$$L_{
u,\mathrm{radio}} \propto
u^lpha \ t^eta \propto \left(\dot{M} / v_{w}
ight)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Radio observations of SNe Ia





	Distance	Epoch	Wavelength	Radio Luminosity ^a	M°	
SN	(Mpc)	(days)	(cm)	(ergs ⁻¹ Hz ⁻¹)	$(M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1})$	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
80N	23.3	71	6	2.5×10^{26}	1.1×10^{-6}	
81B	16.6	17	6	6.5×10^{25}	1.3×10^{-7}	
82E	23.1	1416	20	2.3×10^{26}	7.3×10^{-6}	
83G	17.8	71	6	5.0×10^{25}	4.1×10^{-7}	
84A	17.4	74	6	7.1×10^{25}	5.3×10^{-7}	
85A	26.8	55	20	1.2×10^{26}	2.5×10^{-7}	
85B	28.0	69	20	3.1×10^{26}	6.1×10^{-7}	
86A	46.1	57	6	2.6×10^{26}	9.2×10^{-7}	
86G	5.5	28	6	5.0×10^{25}	1.7×10^{-7}	
860	28	71	6	1.3×10^{26}	7.4×10^{-7}	
87D	30	83	6	1.3×10^{26}	8.4×10^{-7}	
87N	37.0	67	20	4.2×10^{26}	7.4×10^{-7}	
89B	11.1	15	3.6	8.1×10^{24}	3.3×10^{-8}	
89M	17.4	50	6	9.2×10^{25}	4.4×10^{-7}	
90M	39.4	32	3.6	1.5×10^{26}	5.4×10^{-7}	
91T	14.1	28	3.6	2.3×10^{25}	1.5×10^{-7}	
91bg	17.4	29	3.6	1.1×10^{26}	2.0×10^{-7}	
92A	24.0	29	6	4.1×10^{25}	1.6×10^{-7}	
94D	14	61	6	2.8×10^{25}	2.5×10^{-7}	
95al	30	17	20	1.7×10^{26}	1.2×10^{-7}	
96X	30	66	3.6	1.9×10^{26}	1.2×10^{-6}	
98bu	11.8	28	3.6	1.3×10^{25}	1.1×10^{-7}	
99by	11.3	15	3.6	2.1×10^{25}	8.0×10^{-8}	
02bo	22	95	20	6.8×10^{25}	3.0×10^{-7}	
02cv	22	41	20	6.8×10^{25}	3.0×10^{-7}	
03hv	23	61	3.6	6.2×10^{25}	5.8×10^{-7}	
0.010	26.4	10	24	0.11025	7 6 10-7	

TABLE 3 LOWEST UPPER LIMITS TO SN IA PROGENITOR MASS-LOSS RATES

^a The spectral luminosity upper limit (2 \(\sigma\)), as estimated at the wavelength given in col. (4), which, when combined with the age of the SN at the time of observation, yielded the lowest mass-loss rate limit.

^b The upper limit (2 σ) to the mass-loss rate, M, is calculated from the spectral luminosity lowest upper limit (provention) as measured at the wavelength given in ocl. (A) as measured at the wavelength given in ocl. (A) as models at the source of the spectral state of the spectra

Panagia et al. (2006)

• Chevalier (1982) model + scaling of emission from SNe lb/c SN 1999by: $L_{\nu} \approx 2.0 \times 10^{25}$ erg s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹; $\dot{M} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}(3-\sigma)$

Upper limits to the radio emission of SN 2013dy



5.0 GHz Continuum MERLIN Observations of the Type Ia SN 2013dy

ATcl #5619; M. Perez-Torres (IAA-CSIC/CEFCA, Spain), M. Argo (JBCA, Manchester), P. Lundqrist (Stockholm Observatory), G. Anderson (Soton University), B. Bewick (BCA), C. I. Bjornsson (Stockholm Observatory), R. Fender (Oxford University), A. Rushton (Oxford/Soton), S. Ryder (AAO, Sydney), T. Staley (Oxford) on 2 Doc 2013; 13:24 UT Credential Certification: Misuel A. Perez-Torres (torres@iaa.es)

Subjects: Radio, Supernovae



We report MERLIN radio observations of the Type Ia supernova 2013dy, which was discovered on 1045 July 2013, shortly after its explosion, in the nearby (D=135 Mpc) galaxy NGC 7250 (cf. CBET #3588). Our observations were carried out during 4 + 6 August 2013, one week after the SN reached its B-band maximum (Zheng et al. 2013). The radio telescopes that participated in the observations included five eMERLIN antennas (Jodrell MK2, Pickmere, Damhall, Kaockin, and Defford). The arrow observed at a central frequency of 5.900 GHz and used a total bandwidth of \$12 MHz, which resulted in a synthesized Gaussian beam of (0.13 x 0.11) sq, arcseconds. We centred our observations at the position of the optical discovery (RA20000) D=218:17.60 and DEC(2000.0)=40:34:09.6; CBET #5388) and imaged a (20 x 20) sq, arcsecond region centered at this position, after having tatked all our data.

We found no evidence of radio emission above a 3-sigma limit of 300 microly/beam in a circular region of 1 arcscood in radius, centered at the SN position. This value corresponds to an upper limit of the monochromatic 5.0 GHz luminosity of 6.9e25 erg/s/Hz (3-sigma), and places a stringent upper limit to the wird mass loss rate of the supernova progenitor of 2.7e-5 alor masses per year (3-sigma), for an assumed wird speed of 10 km/s, and if the radio emission in Type Ia SNe behaves as in Type Ibe SNS (Weilsreit al. 2002).

We thank the eMERLIN staff for supporting our ToO program in search for radio emission from Type Ia supernovae, aimed at unveiling their progenitor scenarios.

From Pérez-Torres et al. 2013, ATel No. 5619

 $L_{
u} pprox 6.9 imes 10^{25} \,\,\, {
m erg} \,\, {
m s}^{-1} \,\, {
m Hz}^{-1}; \,\, \dot{M} pprox 2.7 imes 10^{-7} \,\, {
m M_{\odot} \, yr}^{-1}$ (3- σ)

(日) (部) (注) (子)

The Type Ia SN 2014J in M 82 (D = 3.5 Mpc)





Serendipitous discovery by Fossey et al. (2014) Imaging by Itagaki $\Rightarrow t_{\mathrm{expl}} \approx 15.0$ Jan 2014

EVN and eMERLIN obs-ns (Pérez-Torres et al. 2014)









◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のくで

Severe constraints on the radio luminosity of SN 2014



Starting	Т	$t_{\rm int}$	Array	ν	S_{ν}	$L_{\nu,22}$	М_9
UT	day	hours		GHz	μ Jy		
Jan 23.2	8.2	-	JVLA	5.50	4.0	5.9	0.70
Jan 24.4	9.4	-	JVLA	22.0	8.0	11.7	3.7
Jan 28.8	13.8	13.6	eMERLIN	1.55	12.4	18.2	0.85
Jan 29.5	14.5	14.0	eMERLIN	6.17	13.6	19.9	2.7
Feb 4.0	20.0	11.0	eEVN	1.66	10.8	15.8	1.3
Feb 19.1	35.0	10.0	eEVN	1.66	9.5	13.9	2.2

Pérez-Torres et al. (2014)

Most constraining upper limits to radio emission of SNe Ia, together with those on SN2011fe

•
$$L_
u \lesssim 2 imes 10^{23}~
m erg~s^{-1}~Hz^{-1}$$



- Chevalier's model
- Shock-CSM interaction: $r_{\rm shock} \propto t^m$; $\rho_{\rm wind} \propto r^{-2}$
- Shock energetics: $\epsilon_{
 m B} = u_{
 m B}/u_{
 m th}$

Radio luminosity traces the mass-loss rate of SNe

$$L_{
u,{
m thin}} \propto \epsilon_{
m B}^{1.1} \, \left(\dot{M}/v_w
ight)^{1.4} \, t^{-1.6}$$

• Time dependence ⇒ Early radio observations are crucial!







$$L_{
u,{
m thin}} \propto \epsilon_{
m B}^{1.1} \left(\dot{M}/v_w
ight)^{1.4} t^{-1.6}$$

Most constraining M for SNe Ia, together with those for SN2011fe • $\dot{M} \lesssim 7.0 \times 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}}$ ($\epsilon_{\mathrm{B}} = 0.1$); $v_w = 100 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ○○

Mass-loss rate – wind-speed parameter space for SNe



JAG.

æ



Pérez-Torres et al. (2014)



500





$$\begin{split} n_{\rm ISM} &= \mu \, N_{\rm H\,I} / l \\ N_{\rm H\,I} \sim 2 \times 10^{20} \ {\rm cm}^{-2} \\ {\rm Path \ length, \ } l \sim 100 \ {\rm pc} \\ {\rm Solar \ abundances, \ } \mu \approx 1.4 \\ \Rightarrow n_{\rm ISM} \lesssim 1 \ {\rm cm}^{-3} \ (\epsilon_B = 0.1) \end{split}$$

Most constraining upper limits to the ISM around the progenitor star of SNe Ia (in the DD scenario)

•
$$\mathit{n}_{
m ISM} \lesssim 1~{
m cm}^{-3}~(\epsilon_B=0.1)$$



• The DD scenario predicts a steady increase of radio emission (!)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

Probing the late time radio emission of SNe la





 A promising future: SKA





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで



(ロ) (個) (注) (注) (注) うく

EVN and eMERLIN are incredible machines

• Enormous contribution to the field of stellar evolution, thanks to their sensitivity and high-angular resolution.

Most single degenerate scenarios ruled out for SN 2014J

- There is little room for SD scenarios, which favors the DD scenario.
- ullet If the medium is uniform (i.e., the ISM) \Rightarrow $\mathit{n}_{\rm ISM} \lesssim 1 \ {\rm cm}^{-3}$
- Late radio observations to test the DD scenario (!) (very clean environment!)

$\Re \sim 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ SN/yr/Mpc}^{-3}$ (Dilday et al. 2010) Very few nearby SNe per decade \Rightarrow should be observed

- Prompt (and late!) radio observations key to unveil true progenitors
- SKA to unambiguously solve the issue.